

## CONFORMING PRODUCTS LIST OF ALCOHOL SCREENING DEVICES—Continued

Distributors/manufacturers	Devices
Repeco Marketing, Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina .....	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AlcoHAWK PT 500.</li> <li>• CA2010.</li> </ul>
Seju Engineering Co., Taejeon, Korea .....	Alco Tec III.
Skyfine Inc., Ltd., Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong .....	Safe-Slim. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AT577.</li> <li>• AT578 (aka: AlcoCheck FC90).</li> <li>• AT579.</li> </ul>
Sound Off, Inc., Hudsonville, Michigan .....	Digitox D.O.T. <sup>7</sup>
Varian, Inc., Lake Forest, California .....	On-Site Alcohol. <sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The AlcoMate was manufactured by Han International of Seoul, Korea, but marketed and sold in the U.S. by AK Solutions.

<sup>2</sup> Manufactured by Seju Engineering, Korea.

<sup>3</sup> Han International does not market or sell devices directly in the U.S. market. Other devices manufactured by Han International are listed under AK Solutions, Inc. and Q3 Innovations, Inc.

<sup>4</sup> Manufactured by Sentech Korea Corp.

<sup>5</sup> These devices utilize replaceable semiconductor detectors. Instead of re-calibrating the device, a new calibrated detector can be installed. The device comes with 4 detectors including the one that was already installed.

<sup>6</sup> These devices utilize replaceable semiconductor detectors. Instead of re-calibrating the device, a new calibrated detector can be installed. This device comes with 5 detectors including the one that was already installed.

<sup>7</sup> While these devices are still being sold, they are no longer manufactured or supported.

<sup>8</sup> The Breath Alcohol ✓ .02 Detection System consists of a single-use disposable breath tube used in conjunction with an electronic analyzer that determines the test result. The electronic analyzer and the disposable breath tubes are lot specific and manufactured to remain calibrated throughout the shelf-life of the device. This screening device cannot be used after the expiration date.

<sup>9</sup> While the ALCO-SCREEN 02™ saliva-alcohol screening device manufactured by Chematics, Inc. passed the requirements of the Model Specifications when tested at 40 °C (104 °F), the manufacturer has indicated that the device cannot exceed storage temperatures of 27 °C (80 °F). Instructions to this effect are stated on all packaging accompanying the device. Accordingly, the device should not be stored at temperatures above 27 °C (80 °F). If the device is stored at or below 27 °C (80 °F) and used at higher temperatures (i.e., within a minute), the device meets the Model Specifications and the results persist for 10–15 minutes. If the device is stored at or below 27 °C (80 °F) and equilibrated at 40 °C (104 °F) for an hour prior to sample application, the device fails to meet the Model Specifications. Storage at temperatures above 27 °C (80 °F), for even brief periods of time, may result in false negative readings.

<sup>10</sup> While this device passed all of the requirements of the Model Specifications, readings should be taken only after the time specified by the manufacturer. For valid readings, the user should follow the manufacturer's instructions. Readings should be taken one (1) minute after a sample is introduced at or above 30 °C (86 °F); readings should be taken after two (2) minutes at 18 °C–29 °C (64.4 °F–84.2 °F); and readings should be taken after five (5) minutes when testing at temperatures at or below 17 °C (62.6 °F). If the reading is taken before five (5) minutes has elapsed under the cold conditions, the user is likely to obtain a reading that underestimates the actual saliva-alcohol level.

**Authority:** 23 U.S.C. 403; 49 CFR 1.50; 49 CFR part 501.

Issued on: June 11, 2012.

**Jeff Michael,**

Associate Administrator, Research and Program Development, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-14582 Filed 6-13-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2012-0061]

#### Highway Safety Programs; Conforming Products List of Evidential Breath Alcohol Measurement Devices

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice updates the Conforming Products List (CPL) published in the **Federal Register** on March 11, 2010 (75 FR 11624) for instruments that conform to the Model Specifications for Evidential Breath Alcohol Measurement Devices dated, September 17, 1993 (58 FR 48705).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 14, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** *For technical issues:* Ms. De Carlo Ciccel, Behavioral Research Division, NTI-131, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; Telephone: (202) 366-1694. *For legal issues:* Ms. Jin Kim, Office of Chief Counsel, NCC-113, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; Telephone: (202) 366-1834.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 5, 1973, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) published the Standards for Devices to Measure Breath Alcohol (38 FR 30459). A Qualified Products List of Evidential Breath Measurement Devices comprised of instruments that met this standard was first issued on November 21, 1974 (39 FR 41399).

On December 14, 1984 (49 FR 48854), NHTSA converted this standard to Model Specifications for Evidential Breath Testing Devices (Model Specifications), and published a Conforming Products List (CPL) of instruments that were found to conform to the Model Specifications as Appendix D to that notice. Those instruments are identified on the CPL with an asterisk.

On September 17, 1993, NHTSA published a notice to amend the Model Specifications (58 FR 48705) and to update the CPL. That notice changed the alcohol concentration levels at which instruments are evaluated, from 0.000, 0.050, 0.101, and 0.151 BAC, to 0.000, 0.020, 0.040, 0.080, and 0.160 BAC, respectively. It also included a test for the presence of acetone and an expanded definition of alcohol to include other low molecular weight alcohols, e.g., methyl or isopropyl. Since that time, the CPL has been annotated to indicate which instruments have been determined to meet the Model Specifications published in 1984, and which have been determined to meet the Model Specifications, as revised and published in 1993. Thereafter, NHTSA has periodically updated the CPL with those breath instruments found to conform to the Model Specifications. The most recent update to the CPL was published March 11, 2010 (75 FR 11624).

The CPL published today adds nine (9) new instruments that have been evaluated and found to conform to the Model Specifications, as amended on September 17, 1993 for mobile and non-mobile use. One instrument is distributed by two different companies, so it has been listed twice, for a total of

ten (10) new entries on this CPL. In alphabetical order by company, they are:

(1) The “SAF’IR Evolution” manufactured by Alcohol Countermeasure Systems Corp. Toronto, Ontario, Canada. This is a hand-held instrument intended for use in stationary or mobile operations. It uses an infrared sensor and powered by internal batteries.

(2) The “Intoxilyzer 600” manufactured by CMI, Inc., Owensboro, Kentucky. This is a hand-held instrument intended for use in stationary or mobile operations. It uses a fuel cell sensor and powered by an internal battery. The Intoxilyzer 600 is also distributed as the Alcolmeter 600 by Lion Laboratories outside the U.S., so it has been listed twice on the CPL, once under each of its distributors/manufacturers.

(3) The “Guth 38” manufactured by Guth Laboratories, Inc., Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. This is a hand-held instrument intended for use in stationary or mobile operations. It uses

a fuel cell sensor and is powered by internal batteries.

(4) The “Alco-Sensor V XL” manufactured by Intoximeters, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri. This is a hand-held instrument intended for use in stationary or mobile operations. It uses a fuel cell sensor and is powered by internal batteries.

(5) The “LifeGuard Pro” manufactured by Lifeloc Technologies, Inc., Wheat Ridge, Colorado. This is a hand-held instrument intended for use in stationary or mobile operations. It uses a fuel cell sensor and is powered by internal batteries.

(6) The “DataMaster DMT with fuel cell option series number (SN) 555555” and the “DataMaster DMT with fuel cell option series number (SN) 100630” manufactured by National Patent Analytical Systems, Inc., Mansfield, Ohio. These instruments can be used in stationary and mobile operations. These instruments use both infrared and fuel cell sensors. These instruments can be powered by either 110 volts alternate current or 12 volts direct current.

(7) The “Alcovisor Jupiter” and the “Alcovisor Mercury” manufactured by PAS International, Fredericksburg, Virginia. These are hand-held instruments intended for use in stationary or mobile operations. Both instruments use a fuel cell sensor and are powered by internal batteries.

This update also removes four (4) instruments no longer supported by the manufacturer and makes one minor change.

The following instruments (PBA 3000 B, PBA 3000-P, PBA 3000 C and Alcohol Data Sensor), manufactured by Lifeloc Technologies, Inc., Wheat Ridge, Colorado, are being removed from the CPL because these instruments were determined to be obsolete. These instruments are no longer manufactured, in use or being maintained by the manufacturer.

The minor change includes a change of address for Alcohol Countermeasure Systems Corp., from Mississauga, Ontario, Canada to Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

In accordance with the foregoing, the CPL is updated, as set forth below.

CONFORMING PRODUCTS LIST OF EVIDENTIAL BREATH MEASUREMENT DEVICES

Manufacturer/distributor and model	Mobile	Nonmobile
Alcohol Countermeasure Systems Corp., Toronto, Ontario, Canada:		
Alert J3AD *	X	X
Alert J4X.ec	X	X
PBA3000C	X	X
SAF’IR Evolution	X	X
BAC Systems, Inc., Ontario, Canada:		
Breath Analysis Computer *	X	X
CAMEC Ltd., North Shields, Tyne and Ware, England:		
IR Breath Analyzer*	X	X
CMI, Inc., Owensboro, Kentucky:		
Intoxilyzer Model:		
200	X	X
200D	X	X
240 (aka: Lion Alcolmeter 400+ outside the U.S.)	X	X
300	X	X
400	X	X
400PA	X	X
600 (aka: Lion Alcolmeter 600 outside the U.S.)	X	X
1400	X	X
4011 *	X	X
4011A *	X	X
4011AS *	X	X
4011AS-A *	X	X
4011AS-AQ *	X	X
4011 AW *	X	X
4011A27-10100 *	X	X
4011A27-10100 with filter *	X	X
5000	X	X
5000 (w/Cal. Vapor Re-Circ.)	X	X
5000 (w/3/8" ID Hose option)	X	X
5000CD	X	X
5000CD/FG5	X	X
5000EN	X	X
5000 (CAL DOJ)	X	X
5000VA	X	X
8000	X	X
PAC 1200 *	X	X
S-D2	X	X
S-D5 (aka: Lion Alcolmeter SD-5 outside the U.S.)	X	X

## CONFORMING PRODUCTS LIST OF EVIDENTIAL BREATH MEASUREMENT DEVICES—Continued

Manufacturer/distributor and model	Mobile	Nonmobile
Draeger Safety, Inc. (aka: National Draeger) Irving, Texas:		
Alcotest Model:		
6510 .....	X	X
6810 .....	X	X
7010* .....	X	X
7110* .....	X	X
7110 MKIII .....	X	X
7110 MKIII-C .....	X	X
7410 .....	X	X
7410 Plus .....	X	X
7510 .....	X	X
9510 .....	X	X
Breathalyzer Model:		
900 .....	X	X
900A* .....	X	X
900BG* .....	X	X
7410 .....	X	X
7410-II .....	X	X
EnviteC by Honeywell GmbH, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin:		
AlcoQuant 6020 .....	X	X
Gall's Inc., Lexington, Kentucky:		
Alcohol Detection System—A.D.S. 500 .....	X	X
Guth Laboratories, Inc., Harrisburg, Pennsylvania:		
Alcotector BAC-100 .....	X	X
Alcotector C2H5OH .....	X	X
Guth 38 .....	X	X
Intoximeters, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri:		
Photo Electric Intoximeter* .....		X
GC Intoximeter MK II* .....	X	X
GC Intoximeter MK IV* .....	X	X
Auto Intoximeter* .....	X	X
Intoximeter Model:		
3000 .....	X	X
3000 (rev B1)* .....	X	X
3000 (rev B2)* .....	X	X
3000 (rev B2A)* .....	X	X
3000 (rev B2A) w/FM option* .....	X	X
3000 (Fuel Cell)* .....	X	X
3000 D* .....	X	X
3000 DFC* .....	X	X
Alcomonitor .....		X
Alcomonitor CC .....	X	X
Alco-Sensor III .....	X	X
Alco-Sensor III (Enhanced with Serial Numbers above 1,200,000) .....	X	X
Alco-Sensor IV .....	X	X
Alco-Sensor IV XL .....	X	X
Alco-Sensor V .....	X	X
Alco-Sensor V XL .....	X	X
Alco-Sensor AZ .....	X	X
Alco-Sensor FST .....	X	X
Intox EC/IR .....	X	X
Intox EC/IR II .....	X	X
Intox EC/IR II (Enhanced with serial number 10,000 or higher) .....		X
Portable Intox EC/IR .....	X	X
RBT-AZ .....	X	X
RBT-III .....	X	X
RBT III-A .....	X	X
RBT IV .....	X	X
RBT IV with CEM (cell enhancement module) .....	X	X
Komyo Kitagawa, Kogyo, K.K., Japan:		
Alcolyzer DPA-2* .....	X	X
Breath Alcohol Meter PAM 101B* .....	X	X
Lifeloc Technologies, Inc., (formerly Lifeloc, Inc.), Wheat Ridge, Colorado:		
LifeGuard Pro .....	X	X
Phoenix .....	X	X
Phoenix 6.0 .....	X	X
EV 30 .....	X	X
FC 10 .....	X	X
FC 20 .....	X	X
Lion Laboratories, Ltd., Cardiff, Wales, United Kingdom:		
Alcolmeter Model:		
300 .....	X	X

## CONFORMING PRODUCTS LIST OF EVIDENTIAL BREATH MEASUREMENT DEVICES—Continued

Manufacturer/distributor and model	Mobile	Nonmobile
400 .....	X	X
400+ (aka: Intoxilyzer 240 in the U.S.) .....	X	X
600 (aka: Intoxilyzer 600 in the U.S.) .....	X	X
SD-2* .....	X	X
SD-5 (aka: S-D5 in the U.S.) .....	X	X
EBA* .....	X	X
Intoxilyzer Model:		
200 .....	X	X
200D .....	X	X
1400 .....	X	X
5000 CD/FG5 .....	X	X
5000 EN .....	X	X
Luckey Laboratories, San Bernardino, California:		
Alco-Analyzer Model:		
1000* .....		X
2000* .....		X
Nanopuls AB, Uppsala, Sweden:		
Evidenzer .....	X	X
National Patent Analytical Systems, Inc., Mansfield, Ohio:		
BAC DataMaster (with or without the Delta-1 accessory) .....	X	X
BAC Verifier DataMaster (w/ or without the Delta-1 accessory) .....	X	X
DataMaster cdm (w/ or without the Delta-1 accessory) .....	X	X
DataMaster DMT .....	X	X
DataMaster DMT w/ Fuel Cell option SN: 555555 .....	X	X
DataMaster DMT w/ Fuel Cell option SN: 100630 .....	X	X
Omicron Systems, Palo Alto, California:		
Intoxilyzer Model:		
4011* .....	X	X
4011AW* .....	X	X
PAS International, Fredericksburg, Virginia:		
Mark V Alcovisor .....	X	X
Alcovisor Jupiter .....	X	X
Alcovisor Mercury .....	X	X
Plus 4 Engineering, Minturn, Colorado:		
5000 Plus 4* .....	X	X
Seres, Paris, France:		
Alco Master .....	X	X
Alcopro .....	X	X
Siemans-Allis, Cherry Hill, New Jersey:		
Alcomat* .....	X	X
Alcomat F* .....	X	X
Smith and Wesson Electronics, Springfield, Massachusetts:		
Breathalyzer Model:		
900* .....	X	X
900A* .....	X	X
1000* .....	X	X
2000* .....	X	X
2000 (non-Humidity Sensor)* .....	X	X
Sound-Off, Inc., Hudsonville, Michigan:		
AlcoData .....	X	X
Seres Alco Master .....	X	X
Seres Alcopro .....	X	X
Stephenson Corp.:		
Breathalyzer 900* .....	X	X
Tokai-Denshi Inc., Tokyo, Japan:		
ALC-PRO II (U.S.) .....	X	X
U.S. Alcohol Testing, Inc./Protection Devices, Inc., Rancho Cucamonga, California:		
Alco-Analyzer 1000 .....		X
Alco-Analyzer 2000 .....		X
Alco-Analyzer 2100 .....	X	X
Verax Systems, Inc., Fairport, New York:		
BAC Verifier* .....	X	X
BAC Verifier Datamaster .....	X	X
BAC Verifier Datamaster II* .....	X	X

\*Instruments marked with an asterisk (\*) meet the Model Specifications detailed in 49 FR 48854 (December 14, 1984) (i.e., instruments tested at 0.000, 0.050, 0.101, and 0.151 BAC). Instruments not marked with an asterisk meet the Model Specifications detailed in 58 FR 48705 (September 17, 1993), and were tested at BACs = 0.000, 0.020, 0.040, 0.080, and 0.160. All instruments that meet the Model Specifications currently in effect (dated September 17, 1993) also meet the Model Specifications for Screening Devices to Measure Alcohol in Bodily Fluids.

**Authority:** 23 U.S.C. 403; 49 CFR 1.50; 49 CFR part 501.

Issued on: June 11, 2012.

**Jeff Michael,**

*Associate Administrator, Research and Program Development, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2012-14581 Filed 6-13-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-59-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. AB 303 (Sub-No. 39X)]

#### Wisconsin Central Ltd.—Abandonment Exemption—in Manitowoc County, WI

Wisconsin Central Ltd. (WCL) has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR part 1152 subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments* to abandon 6.8 miles of rail line extending from milepost 69.0 in Newton to milepost 62.2 in Cleveland in Manitowoc County, WI. The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 53015 and 53063, and there are no stations on the line.

WCL has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least two years; (2) any overhead traffic previously handled on the line could be rerouted over other lines; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7(c) (environmental report), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, in Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on July 14, 2012, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do

not involve environmental issues,<sup>1</sup> formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),<sup>2</sup> and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by June 25, 2012. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by July 5, 2012, with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to WCL's representative: Jeremy M. Berman, Fletcher & Sippel LLC, 29 North Wacker Drive, Suite 920, Chicago, IL 60606-2832.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

WCL has filed a combined environmental and historic report that addresses the effects, if any, of the abandonment on the environment and historic resources. OEA will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by June 19, 2012. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to OEA (Room 1100, Surface Transportation Board, Washington, DC 20423-0001) or by calling OEA at (202) 245-0305. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), WCL shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to signify that it has exercised the authority granted and fully abandoned the line. If consummation has not been effected by WCL's filing of a notice of consummation by June 14, 2013, and there are no legal or regulatory barriers to consummation, the authority to abandon will automatically expire.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at "[www.stb.dot.gov](http://www.stb.dot.gov)."

<sup>1</sup> The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Office of Environmental Analysis (OEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See *Exemption of Out-of-Serv. Rail Lines*, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

<sup>2</sup> Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which is currently set at \$1,500. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

Decided: June 11, 2012.

By the Board.

**Rachel D. Campbell,**

*Director, Office of Proceedings.*

**Derrick A. Gardner,**

*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2012-14575 Filed 6-13-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. MCF 21043]

#### Academy Express, L.L.C.—Acquisition of the Properties of Entertainment Tours, Inc.

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice Tentatively Approving and Authorizing Finance Transaction.

**SUMMARY:** Academy Express, L.L.C., a motor carrier of passengers (Academy), has filed an application under 49 U.S.C. 14303 for its acquisition of the properties of Entertainment Tours, Inc., also a motor carrier of passengers (Entertainment).<sup>1</sup> The Board is tentatively approving and authorizing the transaction, and, if no opposing comments are timely filed, this notice will be the final Board action. Persons wishing to oppose the application must follow the rules under 49 CFR 1182.5 and 1182.8.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed by July 27, 2012. Academy may file a reply by August 13, 2012. If no comments are filed by July 27, 2012, this notice shall be effective on that date.

**ADDRESSES:** Send an original and 10 copies of any comments referring to Docket No. MCF 21043 to: Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, send one copy of comments to Academy's representative: Fritz R. Kahn, Fritz R. Kahn, P.C., 1919 M Street NW., 7th Floor, Washington, DC 20036.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julia M. Farr, (202) 245-0359. Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) for the hearing impaired: 1-800-877-8339.

<sup>1</sup> Academy filed its application for acquisition of the properties of Entertainment on April 5, 2012. However, the Board determined that the information provided was not sufficiently complete to provide the required notice to the Board and to the public as to the nature of the proposed transaction. In a Board decision served on May 4, 2012, Academy was directed to supplement its application, which it did on May 15, 2012. The filing date of an application is deemed to be the date on which the complete information is filed. See 49 CFR 1182.4(a). Thus, we will treat Academy's application as having been filed on May 15, 2012.