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General Premise

1. To continue to exist an organization must remain relevant.

2. To remain relevant an organization has to recognize its environment and evolve.
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EVOC

- EVOC - pronounced as “evoke”.

- Electronic Verification of Operating Credentials

- A definition of “evoke is to cause a particular reaction or response to happen.”
IRP Goals

• GOAL A
  – IRP, Inc. will be the leader for advancing the effective and efficient registration of commercial motor vehicles.

• GOAL B
  – IRP, Inc. will be its members’ indispensable resource for knowledge sharing, education and full plan compliance.
IRP Goals

• GOAL C
  – IRP, Inc. will continue to be good financial stewards.

• GOAL D
  – IRP, Inc. will be a proactive organization that is responsive to change by enhancing its programs and services.
IRP Goal A

• OBJECTIVES

2. Continue to leverage relationships with and engage our partners to advance commerce, compliance, and safety.

a) Identify additional organizations with whom we should partner, impact or influence.

b) Network with partner organizations on common issues.
IRP Goal A

• OBJECTIVES

3. Provide leadership in developing a framework for alternative credentials for motor vehicles.

   a) Develop a task force charged with providing a report on progress of the task force to the Board by the end of 2015.

   b) Provide a high level next step plan by the end of 2016.
Update

• The task force met in Louisville, KY on August 1 – 2, 2017. A summary is on the IRP site. Click “About IRP”, “Leadership”, “Task Force” or use the link below.

Update

• We agreed on characteristics a solution must have.
  – Data must be accurate, current, uniform, delivered reliably, and inclusive.

• We discussed the types of information systems available and their strengths and weaknesses.
  – Pointer system, central database, hybrid
Update

• Expertise needed:
  – Technical
  – Legal
  – Roadside Enforcement
  – Deskside Administration
  – Deskside audit
  – U.S. and Canadian Industry
  – UCR
Update

• An impromptu presentation was delivered at the IFTA Annual Business Meeting in Chandler, AZ

• A presentation was delivered at the CVSA Annual Conference and Exhibition meeting in Whitehorse, YT
Truth or Consequences

- Some of you have concerns regarding the concept
- Roadside enforcement deserves better
- It is no longer if, it’s when
Can IFTA and IRP Kill You?

• A truck that is poorly maintained, improperly loaded or driven by an impaired driver can kill you!

• In 2015, 4067 people died in large truck accidents. 116,000 were injured

• To be clear the above numbers do not indicate fault.
Today’s Real World

• We rely on two forms of verification:

• Visual and Electronic

• There are flaws in both
Tomorrow’s Real World

• We rely on electronic verification.

• The system can be relied upon as the data is current, accurate, and accessible.

• Roadside enforcement becomes more efficient and safer
Tomorrow’s Real World

• Both roadside enforcement and passive observation systems will be utilized.

• Population of verified carriers increases substantially.

• Compliance increases
Questions?

• Jeff Hood
  – Cell 317-690-5923
  – Email jhood@dor.in.gov